arXiv:1303.7273v1 [math.AG] 28 Mar 2013

WEAK APPROXIMATION FOR CUBIC HYPERSURFACES

ZHIYU TIAN

Abstract. We prove weak approximation for smooth cubic hypersurfaces of dimension at least 2 defined over the function field of a complex curve.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given an algebraic variety X over a number field or function field F, a natural question is whether the set of rational points $X(F)$ is non-empty. And if it is non-empty, then how many rational points are there? In particular, are they Zariski dense? Do they satisfy *weak approximation*?

In this article, we address the weak approximation question for cubic hypersurfaces defined over the function field of a complex curve.

Smooth cubic hypersurfaces of dimension at least 2 belong to the class of “rationally connected varieties”. Roughly speaking, a smooth projective variety X is *rationally connected* if for any two general points x and y, there is a rational curve connecting them. For more precise definition and properties of rationally connected varieties, see [Kol96], Chap. IV.

After the pioneering work of Graber-Harris-Starr [GHS03], which established the existence of rational points for rationally connected varieties defined over the function field of a curve, there has been many research centered around the arithmetic of such varieties, see, e.g. [HT06], [HT09], [HT08], [TZ13], [Kne13], [Xu12b].

In particular, Hassett and Tschinkel [HT06] conjectured that weak approximation holds for all smooth projective rationally connected varieties defined over the function field of a complex curve.

We first state the conjecture in the arithmetic form. Let B be a smooth projective connected complex curve. For any closed point $b \in B$, denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{B,b}$ the completion of the local ring at the point b and $\mathrm{Frac}\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{B,b}$ the corresponding fraction field. And let

$$\mathbb{A} = \prod_{b \in B}^{\circ} \mathrm{Frac}\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{B,b}$$

be the adéles over the function field $\mathbb{C}(B)$, where all but finitely many of the factors in the product are in $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{B,b}$. Finally let $\mathcal{X}_\eta$ be a smooth rationally connected variety defined over the function field $\mathbb{C}(B)$. Then the weak

---

Date: April 1, 2013.

approximation conjecture can be formulated as saying that the set of rational points $\mathcal{X}_\eta(\mathbb{C}(B))$ is dense in $\mathcal{X}_\eta(\mathbb{A})$.

For our purpose, it is more useful to formulate the conjecture in the following geometric form.

Conjecture 1.1 ([HT06]). Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to B$ be a flat surjective morphism from a projective variety to a smooth projective curve such that a general fiber is smooth and rationally connected (such a map $\mathcal{X} \to B$ is called a *model* of the generic fiber). Then the morphism π satisfies *weak approximation.* That is, for every finite sequence $(b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ of distinct closed points of B, for every sequence $(\widehat{s}_1, \ldots, \widehat{s}_m)$ of formal power series sections of π over b_i, and for every positive integer N, there exists a regular section s of π which is congruent to $\widehat{s}_i$ modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{B,b_i}^N$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, m$.

To see the two formulations are equivalent, notice the following two facts. First, given a projective variety over the function field of B, a projective model always exists. And second, by the valuative criterion, a rational point (resp. a local point) is the same as a section (resp. a local formal section) of the family. For a nice introduction and summary of known results of weak approximation in the function field case (as of 2008), see the survey article [Has10].

Unlike the case of weak approximation over number fields, where one has the Brauer-Manin obstructions to weak approximation, we do not know any obstruction to weak approximation in the function field case.

Some special cases of the conjecture are known, e.g.

- $\mathbb{P}^n$, conic bundles over $\mathbb{P}^1$, del Pezzo surfaces of degree at least 4,
- low degree complete intersections of degree $(d_1, \ldots, d_c)$ such that $\sum d_i^2 \leq n+1$ [Has10],
- smooth cubic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n, n \geq 6$ [HT09],
- isotrivial families [TZ13],
- at places of good reduction (for any family) [HT06]
- a general family of del Pezzo surfaces of degree at most 3, [HT08],[Kne13], [Xu12b].
- a smooth hypersurface with square-free discriminant [HT09].

We notice an interesting difference between the cases completely understood and the other cases. Namely, the former cases are proved by studying the global geometry over the function field while the latter cases are proved by studying the local singular fibers and the singularities of the total space.

In some sense, our paper is the combination of the two approaches. The main theorem is the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a smooth cubic hypersurface of dimension at least 2 defined over the function field of a complex curve. Then weak approximation holds at all places.*

We conclude this introduction by explaining the idea of the proof. First of all, by choosing a Lefschetz pencil and using standard facts about weak approximation, one reduces to prove weak approximation for cubic surfaces.

There are two new ingredients in the proof. The first one is local. The observation is that when the central fiber is a cone over an irreducible elliptic curve or non-normal (i.e. the worst degenerate case for families of cubic surfaces, see 3.1), one can make a ramified base change and a birational modification so that the new central fiber has at worst du Val singularities. One just need to keep track of the Galois action to get back to the original family. The singularities have been greatly improved during this process.

The second new idea is global and geometric. Given a local formal section we want to approximate, say $\widehat{s}$, we choose a section s, whose restriction to the formal neighborhood gives a formal section $\widehat{s}_0$. Then the two formal sections determine a unique line $\widehat{L}$, which intersects the family at a third local formal section $\widehat{s}'$. One can find a line L defined over the function field, which contains the rational point corresponding to the section s, and approximate $\widehat{L}$ to order N (i.e. weak approximation for the space of lines containing s). The line L (generally speaking) intersects the family at s and a degree 2 multisection σ.

The next step is to deform σ so that it approximate the formal sections $\widehat{s}_0$ and $\widehat{s}'$. This is equivalent to a special case of weak approximation after a degree 2 base change. However, the multisection σ already approximate $\widehat{s}'$ (even though we have no control on this formal section) to order N. Thus one only need to approximate $\widehat{s}_0$, which comes from the section and can be carefully chosen to lie in the smooth locus of the fibration (Lemma 5.1) so that weak approximation is possible (by the local approach, i.e. the study of singular fibers).

Once we approximate the formal sections $\widehat{s}'$ and $\widehat{s}_0$, we take the line spanned by the degree 2 multisection and the third intersection point with the cubic surface is what we need.

Acknowledgments: I would like to thank Tom Graber for many helpful discussions and for saving me from making many false statements, and Chenyang Xu and Runpu Zong for their interest in the project.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Everything with a cyclic group action.** In this subsection we collect some useful results from [TZ13]. Let k be any field and G a cyclic group of order l such that l is divisible in k.

First, we are concerned with the following infinitesimal lifting problem. Let S and R be k-algebras with a G-action and $f : S \to R$ be an algebra homomorphism compatible with the action. Let A be an Artinian k-algebra with a G-action, $I \subset A$ an invariant ideal such that $I^2 = 0$. Consider the following commutative diagram, where p is a G-equivariant k-algebra homomorphism.

$$\begin{tikzcd} S \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d] & R \arrow[d, "p"] & \\ A \arrow[r, "\pi"] & A/I \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

We want to know when can one find a G-equivariant lifting $h : R \to A$. The following lemma completely answers this question.

Lemma 2.1. *If we can lift the map p to a k-algebra homomorphism $h : R \to A$ such that $\pi \circ h = p$, then we can find an equivariant lifting $\tilde{h} : R \to A$ with the same property.*

Proof. For every element g in G, define a map $h_g : R \to A$ by $h_g(r) = g \cdot h(g^{-1} \cdot r)$. This is an S-algebra homomorphism and also a lifting of the map $p : R \to A/I$. The map h is G-equivariant if and only if $h_g(r) = h(r)$ for every $g \in G$ and every $r \in R$. The difference of any two such liftings is an element in $Hom(\Omega_{R/S}, I)$, where $\Omega_{R/S}$ is the module of relative differentials. Therefore one has $\theta(g)(r) = h_g(r) - h(r)$ in $Hom(\Omega_{R/S}, I)$. Notice that $Hom(\Omega_{R/S}, I)$ is naturally a G-module with the action of G on $Hom(\Omega_{R/S}, I)$ given by

$$G \times Hom(\Omega_{R/S}, I) \to Hom(\Omega_{R/S}, I)$$

$$(g, \eta) \mapsto g \cdot \eta = (\omega \mapsto g \cdot \eta(g^{-1} \cdot \omega)).$$

It is easy to check that

$$\theta(gh) = g \cdot \theta(h) + \theta(g)$$

Thus θ defines an element $[\theta]$ in $H^1(G, Hom(\Omega_{R/S}, I))$. The existence of an equivariant lifting is equivalent to the existence of an element $\Theta \in Hom(\Omega_{R/S}, I)$ such that $g\Theta - \Theta = \theta$, i.e, the class defined by θ is zero in $H^1(G, Hom(\Omega_{R/S}, I))$. But the characteristic of the field is relatively prime to the order of G, so the higher cohomology groups of G vanish ([Wei94], Proposition 6.1.10, Corollary 6.5.9). □

Corollary 2.2. *Let X and Y be two k-schemes with a G-action and $f : X \to Y$ be a finite type G-equivariant morphism. Let $x \in X$ be a fixed point, and $y = f(x)$ (hence also a fixed point). Assume that f is smooth at x. Then there exists a G-equivariant section s : Spec $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{y,Y} \to X$. In particular, if the G action on Y is trivial, then the set the fixed points dominates Y.*

Proof. Let S be the local ring at y, and R be the local ring at x. There is an obvious G action on both of these k-algebras. We start with the section s_0 : Spec $k(y) \to f^{-1}(y)$, Spec $k(y) \mapsto x$, which is clearly G-equivariant. By the smoothness assumption, a section from Spec $(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{y,Y}/\mathfrak{m}_y^n)$ always lifts to a section from Spec $(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{y,Y}/{\mathfrak{m}_y}^{n+1})$. Now apply Lemma 2.1 inductively to finish the proof. □

We also need the following G-equivariant smoothing results, which is a slight generalization of the corresponding results in [TZ13].

Lemma 2.3. *Let X be a smooth quasi-projective rationally connected variety over $\mathbb{C}$ and G be a cyclic group of order l acting on X. Fix an action of G on $\mathbb{P}^1$ by $z \mapsto \zeta z$, where ζ is a primitive root of l-th root of unity. Assume that there is a very free rational curve through every point of X.*

1. *Let $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$ be a G-equivariant map. Then there exists a G-equivariant map $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$ such that $\tilde{f}(0) = f(0)$, $\tilde{f}(\infty) = f(\infty)$, and $\tilde{f}$ is very free.*
2. *Let $f_i : C_i \to X, 1 \leq i \leq n$ be a chain of equivariant maps, i.e. for each i, $C_i \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, and f_i is a G-equivariant map such that $f_i(\infty) = f_{i+1}(0)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then there is a G-equivariant map $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$ such that $\tilde{f}(0) = f_1(0)$ and $\tilde{f}(\infty) = f_n(\infty)$.*

Proof. For part (1), we may assume that the equivariant map f is an embedding and $\dim X \geq 3$ by replacing X with $X \times \mathbb{P}^M$ for some large M. Let C be the image of the morphism f.

We attach very free curves C_i at general points $p_i \in C$ along general tangent directions at p_i. Let D_1 be the nodal curve assembled in this way. By Lemma 2.5 [GHS03], after attaching enough such curves, the twisted normal sheaf $\mathcal{N}_{D_1/X}(-0-\infty)$ is globally generated and $H^1(D_1, \mathcal{N}_{D_1/X}(-0-\infty) \otimes L_1) = 0$, where L_1 is a line bundle on D_1 which has degree $-l$ on C and 0 on all the other irreducible components C_i. We then attach all the curves that are G-conjugate to C_i's. The new nodal curve is denoted by D (we may choose C_i's such that the G-orbits do not intersect each other). Denote by R_j the rational curve attached to C at the point p_i. Then the morphism $D \to X$ is G-equivariant. And it has the property that $H^1(D, \mathcal{N}_{D/X}(-0-\infty) \otimes L) = 0$, where L is an extension of the line bundle L_1 on D which has degree $-l$ on C and 0 on all the other irreducible components.

We have the following two exact sequences:

$$0 \to \oplus \mathcal{N}_{D/X}(-0-\infty)|_{R_j}(-p_j) \to \mathcal{N}_{D/X}(-0-\infty) \to \mathcal{N}_{D/X}(-0-\infty)|_C \to 0,$$

$$0 \to \mathcal{N}_{C/X}(-0-\infty) \to \mathcal{N}_{D/X}(-0-\infty)|_C \to \oplus_j Q_j \to 0,$$

where Q_j's are torsion sheaves supported on the points $p_j \in C$. Every sheaf has a natural G action and the G-equivariant deformations are given by G-invariant sections of $\mathcal{N}_{D/X}$. To find a G-equivariant deformation smoothing all the nodes of D and fixing 0 and ∞, one just need to find a G-invariant section in $H^0(D, \mathcal{N}_{D/X}(-0-\infty))^G$ which is not mapped to 0 under the composition of maps

$$H^0(D, \mathcal{N}_{D/X}(-0-\infty)) \to H^0(C, \mathcal{N}_{D/X}(-0-\infty)|_C) \to Q_j$$

for all j.

Since $H^1(D, \mathcal{N}_{D/X}(-0-\infty)\otimes L)=0$, we also have

$$H^1(C, \mathcal{N}_{D/X}(-0-\infty)\otimes L\otimes \mathcal{O}_C)=0.$$

Let $c_1,\dots,c_l$ be an orbit of the G action on C. Then there is a section of $\mathcal{N}_{D/X}(-0-\infty)|_C$ which vanishes on $0,\infty,c_1,\dots,c_{l-1}$ but not on c_l. Then taking average over G gives a G-invariant section of $\mathcal{N}_{D/X}(-0-\infty)|_C$ which does not vanish on any of $x_1,\dots,x_l$. In particular, for any l nodes on C which lie in a G-orbit, we can find a G-invariant section of $\mathcal{N}_{D/X}(-0-\infty)$ which does not vanish on them. Then a general G-invariant section of $\mathcal{N}_{D/X}(-0-\infty)|_C$ does not vanish on any of the nodes p_i.

We have surjections

$$H^0(D, \mathcal{N}_{D/X}(-0-\infty))\to H^0(C, \mathcal{N}_{D/X}(-0-\infty)|_C)$$

and (consequently)

$$H^0(D, \mathcal{N}_{D/X}(-0-\infty))^G\to H^0(C, \mathcal{N}_{D/X}(-0-\infty)|_C)^G.$$

So a general G-invariant section in $H^0(D, \mathcal{N}_{D/X}(-0-\infty))^G$ does not vanish on the nodes. We take the G-equivariant deformation given by this section, which necessarily smooths all the nodes of D with 0 and ∞ fixed. A general smoothing is very free since the normal bundle is ample by upper-semicontinuity.

For the second part, we may assume that all the f_i's are very free by the first part. Let f be the G-equivariant map obtained by gluing together all the f_i's.

Let (T,o) be a pointed smooth curve with a trivial G-action. And let $\tilde{\Sigma}$ be $\mathbb{P}^1\times T$ with the natural diagonal action. There are two G-equivariant sections, $s_0=0\times T, s_\infty=\infty\times T$. Now blow up the point $s_\infty(o)$ and still denote the strict transforms of the two sections by s_0 and s_∞. The G-action extends to the blow-up. We can make the fiber over $o\in T$ a chain of rational curves with n irreducible components by repeating this operation. Then we get a smooth surface Σ with a G action such that the projection to T is G-equivariant.

Let $h_0: s_0\to X\times T$ and $h_\infty: s_\infty\to X\times S$ be T-morphisms such that $h_0(s_0)=f_1(0)\times T$ and $h_\infty(s_\infty)=f_n(\infty)\times T$. Consider the relative Hom-scheme $Hom_T(\Sigma, X\times T, h_0, h_\infty)$ parameterizing T-morphisms from Σ to $X\times T$ fixing h_0 and h_∞. It has a natural G action and the map $\mu: Hom_T(\Sigma, X\times T, h_0,h_\infty)\to T$ is G-equivariant. Now μ is smooth at f. By Corollary 2.2, there is a G-equivariant formal section. So there are G-equivariant smoothings of the morphism f. □

Finally we quote the following theorem in [TZ13]. For our purpose, we only need to find equivariant rational curves in a few cubic surfaces. However they might be singular. And we want the curve constructed to lie in the smooth locus. So the proof does not directly carry over. However it is good to know that such curve exists at least in the desingularization. We will discuss this problem in more details later in 3.4.

Theorem 2.4. *Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected variety and let G be a cyclic group of order l with an action on X. Choose a primitive l-th root of unity ζ and let G act on $\mathbb{P}^1$ by $[X_0, X_1] \mapsto [X_0, \zeta X_1]$. Then for each pair (x, y) of fixed points in X, there is a G-equivariant map $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$ such that $f(0) = x$ and $f(\infty) = y$.*

2.2. **Iterated blow-up.** Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to C$ be a flat proper family over a smooth projective connected curve C. Let $c \in C$ be a closed point and $\widehat{s}_0 : \operatorname{Spec} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{c,C} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a formal section. Assume that $\widehat{s}_0$ lies in the smooth locus of $\mathcal{X} \to C$. The N-th iterated blow-up associated to $\widehat{s}_0$ is defined inductively as follows.

The 0-th iterated blow-up $\mathcal{X}_0$ is $\mathcal{X}$ itself. Assume the i-th iterated blow-up $\mathcal{X}_i$ has been defined. And let $\widehat{s}_i$ be the strict transform of $\widehat{s}_0$ in $\mathcal{X}_i$. Then $\mathcal{X}_{i+1}$ is defined as the blow-up of $\mathcal{X}_i$ at the point $\widehat{s}_i(c)$.

We remark that if both $\mathcal{X}$ and C has a G action such that

- the map $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to C$ is G-equivariant.
- The point c is the fixed point of G. And $\widehat{s}_0$ is G-equivariant,

then each $\mathcal{X}_i$ has a G action such that the natural morphisms $\mathcal{X}_{i+1} \to \mathcal{X}_i$ and the formal sections $\widehat{s}_i$ are G-equivariant. In particular, the intersection of $\widehat{s}_i$ with the central fiber is a fixed point of G.

One can also do this at fibers over a G-orbit in C, provided the formal sections over these points are conjugate to each other under the G action. Then the iterated blow-up still has a G action and every morphism is compatible with the action.

On $\mathcal{X}_N$, the fiber over the point c consists of the strict transform of $\mathcal{X}_c$ and exceptional divisors $E_1, \ldots, E_N$. And

- $E_i, i = 1, \ldots, N-1$, is the blowup of $\mathbb{P}^d$ at $r_i (= \widehat{s}_i(c))$, the point where the proper transform of $\widehat{s}_0$ (i.e. $\widehat{s}_i$) meets the fiber over c of the $(i-1)$-th iterated blow-up;
- $E_N \cong \mathbb{P}^d$,

where d is the dimension of the fiber.

The intersection $E_i \cap E_{i+1}$ is the exceptional divisor $\mathbb{P}^{d-1} \subset E_{i-1}$, and a proper transform of a hyperplane in E_{i+1}, for $i = 0, \ldots, N-1$.

Furthermore, to find a section agreeing with $\widehat{s}_0$ to the N-th order is the same as finding a section in $\mathcal{X}_{N+1}$ intersecting the fiber over c at E_{N+1}, or equivalently, a section in $\mathcal{X}_N$ which intersects the exceptional divisor E_N at the point $r_N = \widehat{s}_N(c)$ (Proposition 11, [HT06]).

3. Standard models of cubic surfaces over a Dedekind domain

3.1. Corti [Cor96] developed a theory of standard models of cubic surfaces over Dedekind domains. Let C be a smooth projective connected curve and p a point in C. Denote by $\mathcal{O}$ the spectrum of $\mathcal{O}_{C,p}$ or $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{C,p}$ and K the quotient field of $\mathcal{O}_{C,p}$ or $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{C,p}$. Let X_K be a cubic surface defined over K.

A model of X_K over $\mathcal{O}$ is a flat projective family $X_{\mathcal{O}}$ such that the generic fiber is X_K.

Definition 3.1. A standard model of X_K over $\mathcal{O}$ is a model $X_{\mathcal{O}}$ over $\mathcal{O}$ such that

1. $X_{\mathcal{O}}$ has terminal singularities of index 1.
2. The central fiber X_0 is reduced and irreducible.
3. The anticanonical system $-K_X$ is very ample and defines an embedding $X_{\mathcal{O}} \subset \mathbb{P}^3_{\mathcal{O}}$.

The main theorem of [Cor96] is the following.

Theorem 3.2. [Cor96] *A standard model exists over* $\mathcal{O}$*.*

Gluing local models together one get a standard model over the curve C.

Note that terminal singularities of index 1 in dimension 3 are isolated, and have multiplicity two.

The central fiber is either a cubic surface with du Val (=ADE) singularities, or a cone over an irreducible, possibly singular, elliptic curve or a non-normal surface with only multiplicity 2 singularities (along a line).

In the following, we will analyze the local structure of the standard model over the formal neighborhood $\mathcal{X} \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ in the last 2 cases.

3.2. Assume the central fiber is a cone over an irreducible elliptic curve, defined by equation $F(X_1, X_2, X_3) = 0$. Then the total space has multiplicity 3 at vertex unless we have $tX_0^3, t^2X_0^3$, or $tX_0^2X_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ in the defining equation of the family.

We first discuss how to find a ramified base change $t = r^n$ for some n and a birational modification so that the central fiber has at worst du Val singularities. We explain this case in details. For the other cases, the analysis is similar.

If either tX_0^3, or $tX_0^2X_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ is in the defining equation, we assign weight $(0, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ to (X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3, r). Then the homogeneous polynomial F has weight 3. So if tX_0^3 is contained in the defining equation, then we make a degree 3 base change. There is exactly one more monomial with weight 3 in the defining equation of the family, namely $r^3X_0^3$ and the other monomials all have weight greater than 3. So after a degree 3 base change $t = r^3$ and change of variables

$$Y_0 = X_0, rY_1 = X_1, rY_2 = X_2, rY_3 = X_3,$$

the new defining equation has the form

$$r^3(Y_0^3 + F(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3)) + r^{\geq 4}R(Y_0, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, r) = 0,$$

or equivalently,

$$Y_0^3 + F(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) + r^{\geq 1}R(Y_0, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, r) = 0.$$

The new family $\mathcal{X}' \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[[r]]$ has a $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ action on the total space compatible with Galois group action on $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[[r]]$. And the central fiber is

$$Y_0^3 + F(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) = 0,$$

which has only du Val singularities. To see this, note that all general fibers in the pencil $\lambda Y_0^3 + F(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3)$ are isomorphic to the surface $Y_0^3 + F(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) = 0$. So using Bertini's theorem, we only need to check singularities in the base locus. Thus the only possible singularity lies in the plane $Y_0 = 0$ and comes from singularities of the elliptic curve. Such a change of variables corresponds to blowing-up at the vertex followed by a contraction of the ruled surface over the elliptic curve.

If tX_0^3 is not contained in the defining equation, and both $t^2X_0^3$ and $tX_0^2X_i$ (for some $i = 1, 2, 3$) are contained in the equations, one can make a degree 2 base change $t = r^2$ and change of variables

$$Y_0 = X_0, rY_1 = X_1, rY_2 = X_2, rY_3 = X_3.$$

After a linear change of coordinates, one can write the equation for the new central fiber as

$$Y_0^2Y_1 + F'(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) = 0,$$

where $F'(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) = 0$ defines an irreducible plane elliptic curve. The singularities (if exist) of the new central fiber are of two kinds. One possible singularity lies in the plane $Y_0 = 0$ and comes from singularities of the elliptic curve. If $Y_1 = 0$ is tangent to the elliptic curve at a smooth point, there are two more singularities in the plane $Y_1 = 0$ and the two singular points are conjugate to each other under the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ action. Again the new central fiber has du Val singularities only.

If neither tX_0^3 nor $tX_0^2X_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ is contained in the defining equation, then $t^2X_0^3$ has to be contained in the defining equation. So one can make a degree 3 base change $t = r^3$ and change of variables

$$Y_0 = X_0, r^2Y_1 = X_1, r^2Y_2 = X_2, r^2Y_3 = X_3.$$

And the central fiber is

$$Y_0^3 + F(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) = 0,$$

which has du Val singularities only.

Finally, a formal section $\widehat{t} : \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[[t]] \to \mathcal{X}$ induces a G-equivariant formal section $\widehat{r} : \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[[r]] \to \mathcal{X}'$. Let $[a, b, c, d]$ be the intersection of $\widehat{t}$ with the central fiber. Assume it is a smooth point. So in particular, one of b, c, d is non-zero. Then the induced section $\widehat{r}$ intersects the new central fiber at $[0, b, c, d]$, which lies in the plane elliptic curve $C = \{Y_0 = F(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) = 0\}$ or $\{Y_0 = F'(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) = 0\}$. Moreover, the point $[0, b, c, d]$ is a smooth point of the elliptic curve, otherwise the point $[a, b, c, d]$ is a singular point of the surface $F(X_1, X_2, X_3) = 0$ since it lies in the line spanned by the singular point in the curve C and the vertex $[1, 0, 0, 0]$.

Remark 3.3. One need a degree 2 base change if there is a formal section which intersect the central fiber at the vertex of the cone. All such sections corresponds to G-equivariant sections of the new family which intersect the central fiber at $[1,0,0,0] \in Y_0^2 Y_1 + F'(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) = 0$.

3.3. When the central fiber is non-normal but not a cone, by [BW79], p. 252, case E, the equation of the surface can be uniquely written as

$$X_0 X_2^2 + X_1 X_3^2,$$

or

$$X_0 X_2^2 + X_1 X_2 X_3 + X_3^3.$$

In both cases the singular locus is the line $X_2 = X_3 = 0$. Since the total space is smooth along the generic point of the line, we have a term $tF(X_0, X_1)$ in the defining equation.

In the first case, make a degree 2 base change $t = r^2$ and change of variables

$$Y_0 = X_0, Y_1 = X_1, rY_2 = X_2, rY_3 = X_3,$$

one can get a new family $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathbb{C}[[r]]$, together with a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ action on the total space compatible with action $r \mapsto -r$. And the central fiber is

$$F(Y_0, Y_1) + Y_0 Y_2^2 + Y_1 Y_3^3,$$

which has only du Val singularities. One has a blow-up/blow-down description of this change of variables similar to the previous case. And as in the the previous case, a formal section $\widehat{t}$ induces a G-equivariant formal section $\mathrm{Spec}\ \mathbb{C}[[r]] \to \mathcal{X}'$. And if the original section intersects the central fiber in the smooth locus (i.e. one of the coordinates X_2 or X_3 is non-zero), then the new formal section intersects the new central fiber in the line $Y_0 = Y_1 = 0$, which lies in the smooth locus.

In the second case, we need to make different base changes and list them as follows.

1. If tX_0^3 is contained in the defining equation, then make a degree 6 base change $t = r^6$.
2. If tX_0^3 is not in the defining equation and $tX_0^2 X_1$ is in the defining equation, then make a degree 5 base change $t = r^5$.
3. If neither tX_0^3 nor $tX_0^2 X_1$ is in the defining equation but $tX_0 X_1^2$ is in the defining equation, then make a degree 4 base change $t = r^4$.
4. If none of $tX_0^3, tX_0^2 X_1, tX_0 X_1^2$ is in the defining equation, and $tX_0^2 X_3$ is not in the defining equation, then make a degree 3 base change $t = r^3$.
5. If none of $tX_0^3, tX_0^2 X_1, tX_0 X_1^2$ is in the defining equation, but $tX_0^2 X_3$ is in the defining equation, then make a degree 4 base change $t = r^4$.

After the base change, make the following change of variables

$$Y_0 = X_0, rY_1 = X_1, r^3 Y_2 = X_2, r^2 Y_3 = X_3.$$

After the base change the central fiber has the form

$$Y_0Y_2^2 + Y_1Y_2Y_3 + Y_3^3 + G = 0,$$

where G is one of the polynomials $Y_0^3, Y_0^2Y_1, aY_0Y_1^2 + bY_0^2Y_3, Y_1^3 + cY_0^2Y_2$. This defines a cubic surface with at worst du Val singularities. A formal section $\widehat{t}$ induces a new formal section Spec $\mathbb{C}[[r]] \to \mathcal{X}'$. And if the original section intersects the central fiber in the smooth locus (i.e. the coordinate X_2 is non-zero), then the new formal section intersects the new central fiber at the point $[0, 0, 1, 0]$, which is a smooth point of the new central fiber.

3.4. For our purpose we would like to know that given two intersection points of the central fiber $X = \mathcal{X}_0'$ with G-equivariant formal sections induced from formal sections of $\mathcal{X} \to$ Spec $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ intersecting the central fiber $\mathcal{X}_0$ in the smooth locus, there is a G-equivariant rational curve connecting them.

First consider the case that the central fiber of $\mathcal{X} \to$ Spec $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ is a cone over an elliptic curve. We have seen in 3.2 that G-equivariant sections induced by sections of the original family $\mathcal{X} \to$ Spec $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ intersecting the central fiber in the smooth locus, intersect the central fiber in the smooth locus of the elliptic curve $C = \{Y_0 = F(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) = 0\}$.

Given a point x in C and in the smooth locus X^{sm}, the constant map $\mathbb{P}^1 \to x$ is G-equivariant. The surface X is log del Pezzo. So given any point in the smooth locus X^{sm}, there is a very free rational curve in the smooth locus and passing through that point by [Xu12a], or by Theorem 21, [HT08]. Then Lemma 2.3, (1), applied to the smooth locus of $X = \mathcal{X}_0'$, shows that there is a very free G-equivariant rational curve in the smooth locus mapping 0 and ∞ to x.

We can deform the curve with 0 mapped to x in a G-equivariant way to get a very free G-equivariant map connecting x and a general point in C. To do this, let T be the smooth locus of C and define two morphisms

$$s_0 : 0 \times T \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times T \to X^{\text{sm}} \times T$$
$$(0, t) \mapsto (x, t)$$

and

$$s_\infty : \infty \times T \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times T \to X^{\text{sm}} \times T$$
$$(\infty, t) \mapsto (t, t).$$

Consider the relative Hom-scheme over T fixing the two morphisms s_0 and s_∞

$$Hom_T(\mathbb{P}^1 \times T, X^{\text{sm}} \times T, s_0, s_\infty).$$

There is a G action on the relative Hom-scheme. The projection to T is G-equivariant and smooth at the point represented by the very free curve mapping 0 and ∞ to $x \in T$. So by Corollary 2.2, one can find such a deformation.

If y is another point in C, the same construction gives a G-equivariant very free curve in the smooth locus connecting y and a general point in C.

To connect x and y, take a common general point and two very free G-equivariant rational curves in the smooth locus. Then part two of Lemma 2.3 shows that there is a very free G-equivariant rational curve in the smooth locus connecting x and y.

Similar argument works for the non-normal, not-a-cone case.

To summarize, we have the following.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a standard model over Spec $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ whose central fiber does not have du Val singularities. Then after a ramified base change $t = r^l$ and a birational modification, we get a new family $\mathcal{X}' \to$ Spec $\mathbb{C}[[r]]$ whose central fiber has du Val singularities. Furthermore, the Galois group $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$ acts on the new total space and the projection $\mathcal{X}' \to$ Spec $\mathbb{C}[[r]]$ is G-equivariant.*

Moreover, formal sections of the family $\mathcal{X}$ contained in the smooth locus induce G-equivariant formal sections of $\mathcal{X}' \to$ Spec $\mathbb{C}[[r]]$ contained in the smooth locus.

Finally, given two formal sections of the family $\mathcal{X}$ intersecting the central fiber in the smooth locus, let x, y be the intersection points of the corresponding new G-equivariant sections with the new central fiber. Then x, y are fixed points (under the G action) in the smooth locus of the central fiber $\mathcal{X}'_0$ of the new family. Moreover, there is a G-equivariant map $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathcal{X}'_0$ such that $f(0) = x, f(\infty) = y$ and the image of f lies in the smooth locus of $\mathcal{X}'_0$. We may also assume that f is very free.

4. APPROXIMATION IN THE SMOOTH LOCUS

This section is devoted to a special case of weak approximation.

4.1. Finding G-equivariant sections.

The following theorem is taken from [TZ13] and added here for completeness.

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a cyclic group of order l and let $\mathcal{X}$ (resp. C) be a smooth proper variety (resp. a smooth projective curve of genus at least 2) with a G action. Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to C$ be a flat family of rationally connected varieties. Let $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ be a set of fixed points of the G-action on C and let $\widehat{s}_i$: Spec $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{p_i,C} \to \mathcal{X}$ be G-equivariant formal sections for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Assume that there is a G-equivariant section s and the family $\mathcal{X} \to C$ has smooth fibers over the points p_i. Then for any positive integer N, there is a G-equivariant section s' which agrees with the formal sections to order N.*

4.1.1. *Basic idea and difficulties.* Before we begin the proof, we briefly discuss the idea and difficulties. One would like to proceed as in [HT06]: start with the given section and add suitable rational curves to it and then smooth the reducible curve. One just need to take care of the G action. However, there are a few difficulties caused by the G action.

Given a G-equivariant section and a G-equivariant formal section, one would like to choose a G-equivariant very free rational curve in the fiber connecting the two and then take a G-equivariant smoothing. But the G-equivariant rational curves are usually multiple covers of their images, thus not immersions. In fact, the intersection of the section with the fiber is a fixed point. Locally the G action is $t \mapsto \zeta t$ where ζ is an l-th root of unity and t is a local parameter. In order that the reducible curve is smoothable with a G action, one has to impose a G-action on the $\mathbb{P}^1$ as $t' \mapsto \zeta^{-1}t'$, where t' is a local parameter near the nodal point. And thus the map cannot be an immersion unless the G action on the tangent space of the fixed point on $\mathcal{X}$ has the same weight.

When the map is not an immersion, the calculation of the obstruction group of the stable map becomes difficult. To overcome this, we use a construction of Kollár-Miyaoka-Mori ([KMM92] 2.13, [Kol96] 6.10)

Construction 4.2. Let (T, o) be a pointed smooth curve and consider $\Sigma_0 = C \times T$. There is a constant section $\sigma_0 = p \times T$, where p is a fixed point of C. Let Σ be the blow-up of Σ_0 at (p, o) and σ the strict transform of σ_0. Note that we have a G action on Σ and the projection $\Sigma \to T$ is G-equivariant, where T is given the trivial G action. Moreover, the section σ is a section of fixed points.

Consider the relative Hom-scheme $Hom(\Sigma, \mathcal{X} \times T, \sigma \mapsto \widehat{s}_1(p) \times T)$, which has a G-equivariant map $\phi : Hom(\Sigma, \mathcal{X} \times T, \sigma \mapsto \widehat{s}_1(p) \times T) \to T$ to the base curve T. To find a G-equivariant smoothing is the same as finding a G-equivariant formal section through the fixed point $f : C \cup C_0 \to \mathcal{X}$ over $0 \in T$.

By Corollary 2.2, to find such a formal section, it suffices to show that the map ϕ is smooth. We now explain the difficulty at this step and the solution.

In general one would like to compute the group $H^1(C \cup C_0, T_{\mathcal{X}})$ and show that this is 0. However, in our set-up, this group is never 0. This is due to the fact that the first order deformations of the algebraic structure of the section maps injectively into this group. But this does not obstruct the deformation. Indeed, one can easily arrange that the deformation of the section, as a stable map, is unobstructed. But the deformation as a stable map is the same as the deformation with the algebraic structure fixed in this case.

What one can do, is to prove that the dimension of the first order deformations is the same as the dimension of the actual deformations. This proves that the Hom-scheme is smooth (in particular, there is a unique irreducible component containing the point). To prove the morphism ϕ is smooth, one just need to find a smoothing of the reducible curve. This will imply that the morphism ϕ is flat, and hence smooth.

Finally note that neither of the two statements one needs to prove involves the G-action. Indeed, to check smoothability, one need to first deform the rational curve so that it becomes an immersion (but not necessarily G-equivariant) and very free and then compute the obstruction group.

Now we begin the proof.

4.1.2. *Step 0: Preparation and reduction.* We first replace $\mathcal{X}$ with a G-equivariant resolution of singularities which is an isomorphism over the smooth locus. The general case follows from the one point case since once we have a section agreeing with $\widehat{s}_1$ to the N-th order, we can take the $(N+1)$-th iterated blow-up and the strict transform of the section, which intersects the exceptional divisor E_{N+1}. Note that a section agrees with $\widehat{s}_1$ to the N-th order if and only if it intersects the divisor E_{N+1}. Since in the process of the proof given below we only add curves in general fibers and take a general smoothing, the intersection number with E_{N+1} is fixed. So the new section will still agree with $\widehat{s}_1$ to the N-th order.

Therefore we assume that there is only one point $p = p_1$.

We may also assume that $H^1(C, N_{C/\mathcal{X}}) = 0$ by the same argument as in Lemma 2.3.

4.1.3. *Step 1: Approximation at* 0*-th order.* The section s and the formal section $\widehat{s}_1$ intersect the fiber $\mathcal{X}_p$ at two fixed points of the G-action. By Theorem 2.4, there is a G-equivariant very free curve $f_0 : C_0 \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathcal{X}_p \to \mathcal{X}$ which connects the two fixed points.

Now we use Construction 4.2.

Consider the relative Hom-scheme $Hom_T(\Sigma, \mathcal{X} \times T, \sigma \mapsto \widehat{s}_1(p) \times T)$, which has a natural G action. And the map

$$\phi : Hom_T(\Sigma, \mathcal{X} \times T, \sigma \mapsto \widehat{s}_1(p) \times T) \to T$$

is G-equivariant.

As explained, the goal is to show that there is a G-equivariant formal section through the fixed point $f : C \cup C_0 \to \mathcal{X}$ over $0 \in T$. By Corollary 2.2, it suffices to show that the map ϕ is smooth at f.

First we show that the Hom scheme is smooth at this point. Indeed, we have the following short exact sequences:

$$0 \to f^*T_{\mathcal{X}}|_{C_0}(-0-\infty) \to f^*T_{\mathcal{X}}(-\infty) \to f^*T_{\mathcal{X}}|_C \to 0,$$

$$0 \to T^{\mathrm{rel}}|_C \cong N_{C/X} \to f^*T_{\mathcal{X}}\,|_C \to T_C \to 0,$$

where $\infty, 0$ are points on C_0 which are mapped to the point $\widehat{s}_1(p)$ and the node in $C \cup C_0$.

Taking the cohomology gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim H^0(C \cup C_0, f^*T_{\mathcal{X}}(-\infty)) \\ \le &\dim H^0(C, f^*T_{\mathcal{X}}|_C) + \dim H^0(C_0, f^*T_{\mathcal{X}}|_{C_0}(-0-\infty)) \\ = &\dim H^0(C, N_{C/\mathcal{X}}) + \dim H^0(C_0, f^*T_{\mathcal{X}}|_{C_0}(-0-\infty)). \end{aligned}$$

Here in the second equality, we used the assumption that the genus of C is at least 2 (so that $H^0(C, T_C) = 0$).

It is easy to see that the deformation space for the map f with the point ∞ fixed has dimension at least $\dim H^0(C, N_{C/X}) + \dim H^0(C_0, f^*T_{\mathcal{X}}|_{C_0}(-0-$

∞)), coming from the deformation of the section C (as a stable map, which is the same as a morphism with a fixed complex structure) and the deformations of C_0 fixing ∞ and the intersection point with C.

Thus the dimension of the tangent space is the same as the dimension of the Hom-scheme $Hom(C \cup C_0, X, \infty \mapsto \widehat{s}_1(p))$. That is, this Hom-scheme is smooth at the point f. As a consequence, the relative Hom-scheme $Hom_T(\Sigma, \mathcal{X} \times T, \sigma \mapsto s_1(p) \times T)$ is smooth at f and there is unique irreducible component containing the point represented by f.

Note that we do have a smoothing of the map f. Indeed, this follows from the fact that there is a smoothing of the stable map.

As we remark earlier, the map $f_0 : C_0 \to X$ may not be an immersion due to the requirement that it is G-equivariant. However, the morphism f_0 is very free, thus a general deformation fixing 0 and ∞ is an immersion provided the pull-back of the tangent bundle $T_{\mathcal{X}}$ is sufficiently positive. Then there is an irreducible curve T' parameterizing a family of maps from $C \cup C_0$ to $\mathcal{X}$ such that a general member is an immersion and the restriction to C_0 is a very free morphism. The relative Hom-scheme is smooth at a general point of T' since the smooth locus is open. In particular, there is a unique irreducible component containing the curve T', which also contains the point corresponding to the $f : C \cup C_0 \to \mathcal{X}$.

A simple computation shows that there is a smoothing of the morphism parameterized by a general point in T' as a stable map. So the morphism $f : C \cup C_0 \to \mathcal{X}$ also has a smoothing.

Therefore the unique irreducible component at the point f dominates T. And the map ϕ is flat, hence also smooth at f.

4.1.4. *Step 2: Approximation at higher order.* Assume we have a section, still denoted by s, which agrees with $\widehat{s}_1$ to the $k(\geq 0)$-th order.

Now let $\mathcal{X}_{k+1}$ be the $(k+1)$-th iterated blow-up of $\mathcal{X}$ associated to the formal section $\widehat{s}_1$. Then G also acts on $\mathcal{X}_{k+1}$ and the projective to C is G-equivariant. By abuse of notations, still denote the strict transforms of s and $\widehat{s}_1$ by s and $\widehat{s}_1$. Then they both intersect the exceptional divisor $E_{k+1} \cong \mathbb{P}^d$ at fixed points of G. Assume the intersection points are different, otherwise there is nothing to prove.

We would like to construct a reducible curve consisting of the given section and suitable rational curves in the fiber, such that a general smoothing gives a section meeting $\widehat{s}_1(p)$. The construction is essentially the same as the one in [HT06], with the only difference coming from the consideration of the G-action. One can think of the construction in [HT06] as the case when the group G is trivial.

The line joining $s(p)$ and $\widehat{s}_1(p)$ is invariant and intersects the exceptional divisor E_k of $\mathcal{X}_{k+1}$ at a unique point y_k, which is necessarily a fixed point of G. Then there are 3 fixed points in the line and thus all points are fixed points of G. Take a curve $D_{k+1} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ with a G action (the action will be made clear in Construction 4.3) and an l-to-1 map to the line such that the map is G-equivariant. There are l points $x_1, \ldots, x_l$, which lie in the same orbit of G, being mapped to the point $y_k \in E_k \cap E_{k+1}$, where E_k and E_{k+1} are exceptional divisors of the $(k+1)$-th iterated blow-up.

The exceptional divisor E_k is isomorphic to the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^d$ at a point, thus is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$. Let $D_{k,1}, \ldots, D_{k,l}$ be l copies of $\mathbb{P}^1$ each mapped isomorphically to the fiber curve $\mathbb{P}^1$ containing the point y_k.

Inductively, let y_i be the intersection point of $D_{i+1,1}$ with E_i and $D_{i,1}, \ldots, D_{i,l}$ be l copies of $\mathbb{P}^1$ each mapped isomorphically to the fiber $\mathbb{P}^1$ containing the point y_i for all $i = k-1, \ldots, 1$.

Finally let y_0 be the point of the intersection of $D_{1,1}$ with the strict transform of $\mathcal{X}_p$ and let $D_{0,1} \ldots, D_{0,l}$ be l copies of $\mathbb{P}^1$ mapped to a free curve in the strict transform of $\mathcal{X}_p$ intersecting E_1 at the point y_0. We may also assume that the maps are immersions.

We introduce some notations.

- For each $j, 1 \leq j \leq l$, and each $n \leq k$, let $D_j^{\leq n} = \cup_{i \leq n} D_{i,j}$ be the chain of $\mathbb{P}^1$'s that is mapped to the union of the strict transform of $\mathcal{X}_p$ and the exceptional divisors $E_i, i \leq n$.
- For each $j, 1 \leq j \leq l$, let $n_{i,j}^+$ (resp. $n_{i,j}^-$) be the connecting node on the curve $D_{i,j}$ with the curve $D_{i+1,j}$ (resp. $D_{i-1,j}$).

Let D be the curve $D_{k+1} \cup \cup_{j=1}^l D_j^{\leq k}$ such that $D_j^{\leq k}$ is a chain of $\mathbb{P}^1$'s connected to D_{k+1} at the point x_j for $j = 1, \ldots, l$. There is a natural G action on D, which permutes the l-chains of $\mathbb{P}^1$'s and acts on the irreducible component D_{k+1}.

Now we use a variation of Construction 4.2.

Construction 4.3. Let (T, o) be a pointed smooth curve and consider $\Sigma_0 = C \times T$. There is a constant section $\sigma_0 = p \times T$, where p is a fixed point of C. Let Σ_1 be the blow-up of Σ_0 at (p, o) and σ the strict transform of σ_0. Note that we have a G action on Σ_1 and the projection $\Sigma_1 \to T$ is G-equivariant, where T is given the trivial G action. Moreover, the section σ is a section of fixed points.

We identify the exceptional divisor with the curve D_{k+1} in such a way that the isomorphism is compatible with the G action. Now take the G-orbit $x_1, \ldots x_l$ and blow up the surface Σ_1 at these points. Call the new surface Σ_2. There is a G action on Σ_2, permuting all the exceptional divisors of $\Sigma_2 \to \Sigma_1$.

Inductively, identify the exceptional divisors $E_{i,j}$ on surface Σ_i with the curves $D_{k+1-i,j}$ for all $i \geq 2, 1 \leq j \leq l$ and blow up the surface Σ_i at the the nodal points $n_{i,j}^+$ which connects $D_{i,j}$ to $D_{i+1,j}$. Each surface Σ_i has a G action, which permutes the chain of exceptional divisors of $\Sigma_i \to \Sigma_1$.

In the end we have a family of curves $\tilde{\Sigma} \to T$, whose fiber over $o \in T$ is isomorphic to $C \cup D$ and the isomorphism is compatible with the G action. Moreover, there is a natural G action on both $\tilde{\Sigma}$ and T such that the morphism $\tilde{\Sigma} \to T$ is G-equivariant. Note that the morphism $\tilde{\Sigma} \to \Sigma_0$ is an isomorphism along σ. We still use the notation σ for the strict transform of σ_0 in the surface $\tilde{\Sigma}$. It is a section of fixed points.

Consider the relative Hom-scheme $Hom_T(\tilde{\Sigma}, \mathcal{X} \times T, \sigma \mapsto \widehat{s}_1(p) \times T)$, which has a G-equivariant map $\phi : Hom_T(\tilde{\Sigma}, \mathcal{X} \times T, \sigma \mapsto \widehat{s}_1(p) \times T) \to T$. To find a G-equivariant smoothing of $C \cup D$ is the same as finding a G-equivariant formal section through the fixed point $f : C \cup D \to \mathcal{X}$ over $0 \in T$.

Again one can check that the Hom-scheme is smooth at this point and such a curve is smoothable as follows. First of all we have the following:

$$0 \to f^*T_{\mathcal{X}_{k+1}}|_D(-0-\infty) \to f^*T_{\mathcal{X}_{k+1}}(-\infty) \to f^*T_{\mathcal{X}_{k+1}}|_C \to 0,$$

where $\infty, 0$ are points on D_{k+1} which are mapped to the point $\widehat{s}_1(p)$ and the node in $C \cup D_{k+1}$. In addition,

$$0 \to T^{\mathrm{rel}}|_C \cong N_{C/\mathcal{X}} \to f^*T_{\mathcal{X}_{k+1}}|_C \to T_C \to 0,$$

$$0 \to \oplus_{j=1}^{l} f^*T_{\mathcal{X}_{k+1}}|_{D_j^{\leq k}}(-n_{k,j}^{+}) \to f^*T_{\mathcal{X}_{k+1}}|_D(-0-\infty) \to f^*T_{\mathcal{X}_{k+1}}|_{D_{k+1}}(-0-\infty) \to 0.$$

For each $j, 1 \leq j \leq l$, the morphism $D_j^{\leq k} \to \mathcal{X}_{k+1}$ is an immersion. Thus we have a short exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \to N_j^* \to \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_{k+1}}|_{D_j^{\leq k}} \to \Omega_{D_j^{\leq k}} \to 0,$$

where N_j^* is the dual of the normal sheaf N_j of the morphism $D_j^{\leq k} \to \mathcal{X}_{k+1}$ and is a locally free sheaf. For each $j, 1 \leq j \leq k$ and each $m, m \leq k$, we have the exact sequence:

$$0 \to N_l|_{D_j^{\leq m-1}}(-n_{m-1,j}^{+}) \to N_l|_{D_j^{\leq m}}(-n_{m,j}^{+}) \to N_l|_{D_{m,j}}(-n_{m,j}^{+}) \to 0.$$

One can compute the restriction of N_l to each curve $D_{i,j}$ as follows (see the proof of Sublemma 27, [HT06]).

$$N_l|_{D_{i,j}} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}^{\oplus d}, & 1 \leq i \leq k-1, \\ \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus d-1}, & i = k, \\ \oplus_{n=1}^{d-1}\mathcal{O}(a_n) \oplus \mathcal{O}, a_n \geq 0 & i = 0. \end{cases}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim H^0(C \cup D, f^*T_{\mathcal{X}_{k+1}}(-\infty)) \\ \leq &\dim H^0(D, f^*T_{\mathcal{X}_{k+1}} \mid_D (-0-\infty)) + \dim H^0(C, f^*T_{\mathcal{X}_{k+1}}|_C) \\ \leq &\dim H^0(D_{k+1}, f^*T_{\mathcal{X}_{k+1}}|_{D_{k+1}}(-0-\infty)) + \sum_{j=1}^{l} \dim Hom(\Omega_{D_j^{\leq k}}(n_{k,j}^{+}), \mathcal{O}_{D_j^{\leq k}}) \\ + &\sum_{j=1}^{l} \dim H^0(D_{0,j}, N_l|_{D_{0,j}}(-n_{0,j}^{+})) + \dim H^0(C, N_{C/\mathcal{X}}) \end{aligned}$$

Note that each summand on the right hand side corresponds to some deformation of the morphism $f : C \cup D \to \mathcal{X}$. And thus the right hand side gives a lower bound on the dimension of the Hom-scheme $Hom(C \cup D, \mathcal{X}_{k+1}, \infty \mapsto \widehat{s}_1(p))$ at the point f. So the Hom-scheme is smooth at f.

We remark that even though in this case the restriction of $T_{\mathcal{X}}$ to the curves $D_{i,j}$ have negative summands, the deformation is unobstructed since the negative summand comes from the restriction of the normal bundle of the exceptional divisors. The first order deformations are first order deformations in the divisor, which is unobstructed since the curves $D_{i,j}$ are free curves in the divisor.

To check that the curve is smoothable, one proceed similarly as in 4.1.3. First deform D fixing 0 and ∞ such that D_{k+1} becomes an immersion. Then one can check the new morphism is again a smooth point of the Hom-scheme and is smoothable as a stable map.

Then by Corollary 2.2, there is a G-equivariant smoothing, which gives a G-equivariant section agreeing with $\widehat{s}_1$ to the $(k+1)$-th order.

4.2. **Weak approximation in the smooth locus.** The following is a special case of weak approximation, which turns out to be all one needs to finish the proof. The basic idea is that when the central fiber is very singular, a base change and a birational modification will greatly improve the singularities. Then one just need to keep track of the Galois group action to get back to the original family.

Lemma 4.4. *Let* $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to B$ *be a standard model of families of cubic surfaces over a smooth projective curve* B *and* $s : B \to \mathcal{X}$ *be a section. Let* $b_1, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_m$ *be finitely many points in* B*, and* $\widehat{s}_j$*,* $k+1 \leq j \leq m$ *be formal sections over the points* $b_j, k+1 \leq j \leq m$*, which lie in the smooth locus of* $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to B$*. Assume that the section* s *intersects the fibers over* $b_{k+1}, \dots, b_m$ *in the smooth locus. Then given a positive integer* N*, there is a section* $s' : B \to \mathcal{X}$ *such that* s' *is congruent to* s *modulo* $\mathfrak{m}_{B,b_i}^N$ *for all* $1 \leq i \leq k$*, and congruent to* $\widehat{s}_j$ *modulo* $\mathfrak{m}_{B,b_j}^N$*, for all* $k+1 \leq j \leq m$*.*

Proof. First of all we may approximate all the formal sections over the points whose fibers have at worst du Val singularities. Indeed the section s and the formal sections we need to approximate lie in the smooth locus over points other than $b_1, \dots, b_k$ by assumption. So the statement follows from Theorem 1, [HT08] and the fact that the smooth locus of log del Pezzo surfaces are strongly rationally connected [Xu12a] (or Theorem 21, [HT08] for the case of cubic surfaces). And the proof in [HT08] is a deformation/smoothing argument. As a result, if the initial section one start with lies in the smooth locus over the points $b_{k+1}, \dots, b_m$, the final section one has also lies in the smooth locus. We can also fix the jet data using the iterated blow-up construction. Thus we can find a section, which approximates the formal sections with jet data fixed and otherwise lie in the smooth locus.

From now on we assume the fibers over the points $b_{k+1}, \dots, b_m$ are either cones over an elliptic curve or non-normal. By Proposition 3.4, at least for the formal neighborhood, we can find a ramified base change and a birational modification so that the new central fibers have du Val singularities only and the Galois group acts on the total space of the formal neighborhood.

The next goal is to show that we can make the base change globally on the curve B.

Given finitely many points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ in B, and any positive integer l, there is a cyclic cover of degree l of B which is totally ramified over $x_1, \dots, x_n$ (and other points). To see this, take a general Lefschetz pencil which maps $x_1, \dots, x_n$ (and other points) to $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and is unramified over these points. Take a degree l map $B_1 = \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1, [X_0, X_1] \mapsto [X_0^l, X_1^l]$ and let $C = B \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} B_1$ be the fiber product. Then C is the desired cyclic cover. Note that we have the freedom to increase the number of branched points so that the genus of C can be arbitrarily large. We could also choose the cover $C \to B$ so that the preimages of $b_1, \dots, b_k$ are l distinct points.

For different type of singular fibers over the points $b_{k+1}, \dots, b_m$, the base change in 3.2 and 3.3 have different degrees. So one can either choose a sufficiently divisible l or approximate the formal sections one by one (again using the iterated blow-up to fix jet data).

Let $C \to B$ be a cyclic cover of degree l, totally ramified at the points $b_{k+1}, \dots, b_m$ (and other points). There is a new family over the curve C by base change. The cyclic group $G = \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$ acts on C and the total space of the new family over C in such a way that the projection to C is G-equivariant. Let c_i be the points in C which are mapped to $b_i, i = k+1, \dots, m$. One can modify the family locally around $c_i, i = 1, \dots, k$ similarly as in 3.2 and 3.3. The only difference is the following. Say in 3.2, we need to make a degree 3 base change of the formal neighborhood and change of variables

$$Y_0 = X_0, rY_1 = X_1, rY_2 = X_2, rY_3 = X_3.$$

But here the base change has degree l, which is a multiple of 3. Write $l = 3l'$. Then after the base change, we change the variables as follows:

$$Y_0 = X_0, r^{l'}Y_1 = X_1, r^{l'}Y_2 = X_2, r^{l'}Y_3 = X_3.$$

Other cases are treated in the same way.

Let $\mathcal{X}' \to C$ be the family after the base change and birational modifications. The group G still acts on the total space $\mathcal{X}'$ and the projection to C is G-equivariant.

The section s induces a G-equivariant section of the new family $\mathcal{X}' \to C$ and has the desired jet data at all points mapped to $b_1, \dots, b_k$. Still denote the new section by s. Moreover, the new G-equivariant section s intersects the fibers over the points $c_{k+1}, \dots, c_m$ in the smooth locus (Proposition 3.4). So do the new formal sections we want to approximate.

Now the argument in Theorem 4.1 proves weak approximation in this case.

Indeed, we take the G-equivariant section in the theorem to be the section s. We also use the iterated blow-up construction, which can be done G-equivariantly. So keeping the jet data becomes keeping the intersection number, which is always true since the argument is a deformation/smoothing argument and at each step the intersection number is fixed.

The theorem needs the assumption that the fibers over $c_{k+1}, \dots, c_m$ are smooth. But this can be weakened as the followings:

- the section and the formal sections intersect the fibers over $c_{k+1}, \dots, c_m$ in the smooth locus, and
- there are G-equivariant very free curves in the smooth locus connecting the intersection points of the central fiber with the G-equivariant section and formal sections over the points $c_{k+1}, \dots, c_m$.

The second condition is proved in Proposition 3.4. □

5. Proof of the main theorem

We first show that there are "nice" sections for a standard model of a families of cubic surfaces.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to B$ be a standard model of family of cubic surfaces over a smooth projective curve B and $s : B \to \mathcal{X}$ be a section. Given finitely many points $b_1, \dots, b_k$ in B, and a positive integer N, there is a section $s' : B \to \mathcal{X}$ such that s' is congruent to s modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{B,b_i}^N$ and $s'(B - \cup b_i)$ lies in the smooth locus of $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to B$.*

Proof. One first resolves the singularities of $\mathcal{X}$ along the fibers over b_i in such a way that the partial resolution is an isomorphism except along these fibers. Then use the iterated blow-up construction according to the jet data of s near the points b_i. After sufficiently many iterated blow-ups, fixing the jet data is the same as passing through fixed components. Call the new space $\mathcal{X}_1$.

Then the lemma is reduced to show that there is a section of the new family $\mathcal{X}_1 \to B$ which has desired intersection number with irreducible components of the fibers over $b_1, \dots, b_k$ in B and lies in the smooth locus of $\mathcal{X}_1 \to B$.

In the following proof, we will show that the given section s, after adding very free rational curves in general fibers, deforms away from the singular locus of the total space. Since the deformation will not change the intersection numbers with divisors, we get a deformation into the smooth locus with the jet data fixed.

Take a resolution of singularities $\mathcal{X}_2 \to \mathcal{X}_1$ which is an isomorphism over the smooth locus such that the exceptional locus in $\mathcal{X}_2$ consists of simple normal crossing divisors $E_i, i = 1, \dots, n$. After adding very free curves in general fibers and smoothing, we may assume the strict transform of the section s, denoted by $f : B \to \mathcal{X}_2$, passes through $g+1$ general points $p_1, \dots, p_{g+1}$ in $\mathcal{X}_2$, where g is the genus of B.

First consider the Kontsevich moduli space of stable maps $\overline{M}_{g,g+1}(\mathcal{X}_1)$ parameterizing stable maps from genus g curves with $g+1$ marked points to $\mathcal{X}_1$, which pass through $g+1$ very general points $p_1, \dots, p_{g+1}$ in $\mathcal{X}_1$. And

Let V be an irreducible component containing the point represented by the map $f : B \to \mathcal{X}_1$.

Next consider the Kontsevich moduli space of stable maps $\overline{M}_{g,g+1}(\mathcal{X}_2)$ parameterizing stable maps from genus g curves with $g+1$ marked points to $\mathcal{X}_2$, which pass through $p_1, \ldots, p_{g+1}$. There is a natural forgetful map from $\overline{M}_{g,g+1}(\mathcal{X}_2)$ to the corresponding moduli space of stable maps to $\mathcal{X}_1$. Take U to be the inverse image of V and write the restriction of the forgetful map as $F : U \to V$.

Note that U has at most countably many irreducible components. And clearly the forgetful map F surjects onto an open dense subset of V since we can always lift a section from $\mathcal{X}_1$ to $\mathcal{X}_2$, with all the conditions still satisfied. Thus there is an irreducible component U_0 of U which dominates V (here we are using the fact that $\mathbb{C}$ is uncountable). By the following lemma (to be proved later), $\dim U_0 = -K_{\mathcal{X}_2} \cdot D - 2(g+1)$, where $f' : D \to \mathcal{X}_2$ is general point (hence D is irreducible) in U_0.

Lemma 5.2. *Let X be a smooth 3-fold and $f : C \to X$ be an embedding of a smooth projective curve of genus g in X which maps $c_1, \ldots, c_{g+1} \in C$ to $g+1$ very general points $x_1, \ldots, x_{g+1}$ in X. Let $f' : (D, d_1, \ldots, d_{g+1}) \to (X, x_1, \ldots, x_{g+1})$ be a general deformation of C. Then $H^1(D, N_{D/X}(-d_1 - \ldots - d_{g+1})) = 0$. In particular, every irreducible component containing the morphism $f : (C, c_1, \ldots c_{g+1}) \to (X, x_1, \ldots, x_{g+1})$ has dimension $-K_X \cdot C - 2(g+1)$.*

The standard model has isolated cDu Val singularities, i.e. 3-fold terminal and local complete intersection singularities. So is the new total space $\mathcal{X}_1$ by construction. Therefore every irreducible component containing the point $f' : D \to \mathcal{X}_2 \to \mathcal{X}_1$ has dimension at least $-K_{\mathcal{X}_1} - 2(g+1)$ since $\mathcal{X}_1$ has local complete intersection singularities. Furthermore, by definition of terminal singularities, we have

$$-K_{\mathcal{X}_1} = -K_{\mathcal{X}_2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i E_i, a_i > 0.$$

Thus if the image of D in $\mathcal{X}_1$ intersect the singular locus, $-K_{\mathcal{X}_1} \cdot D$ is strictly larger than $-K_{\mathcal{X}_2}$, which is impossible.

Therefore we have a section $s' : B \to \mathcal{X}_1$ which has the desired intersection numbers and lies in the smooth locus of the total space $\mathcal{X}_1$. Finally note that if a section lies in the smooth locus of the total space $\mathcal{X}_1^{\mathrm{sm}}$, then the section lies in the smooth locus of the morphism $\pi : \mathcal{X}_1 \to B$. □

Proof of the lemma 5.2. Let M be an irreducible component of the Kontsevich moduli space of genus g stable maps with $g+1$ marked points to X containing $f : C \to X$. Then the evaluation map

$$ev : M \to \underbrace{X \times \ldots \times X}_{g+1}$$

is dominant (here we use the fact that $\mathbb{C}$ is uncountable). Let

$$f' : (D, d_1, \ldots, d_{g+1}) \to X$$

be a general point in the moduli space M. Then D is also embedded and one can fix $g(\geq 0)$ general points in the curve D and deform the curve along the normal direction at a general point. This implies that we have an exact sequence of sheaves:

$$H^0(D, N_{D/X}(-d_1 - \ldots - d_g)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D \to N_{D/X}(-d_1 - \ldots - d_g) \to Q \to 0,$$

where Q is a torsion sheaf on D and $d_1, \ldots, d_g$ are general points in D. It follows from the exact sequence that $H^1(D, N_{D/X}) = 0$ since a general degree g line bundle has no H^1.

We also have short exact sequences

$$0 \to N_{D/X}(-d_1 - \ldots - d_{k+1}) \to N_{D/X}(-d_1 - \ldots - d_k) \to N_{D/X}(-d_1 - \ldots - d_k)|_{d_{k+1}} \to 0,$$

for all $k = 0, \ldots, g$.

Again if the points d_i are general, then the maps on global sections

$$H^0(D, N_{D/X}(-d_1 - \ldots - d_k)) \to N_{D/X}(-d_1 - \ldots - d_k)|_{d_{k+1}}, 0 \leq k \leq g$$

are surjective. Thus we have

$$H^1(D, N_{D/X}) = H^1(D, N_{D/X}(-d_1)) = \ldots = H^1(D, N_{D/X}(-d_1 - \ldots - d_{g+1}) = 0.$$

□

Now we have all the results needed for the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Given a smooth cubic hypersurface X over the function field $\mathbb{C}(B)$ of a smooth projective curve B, one can find a Lefschetz pencil over $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}(B)}$ whose general fiber is a smooth cubic hypersurface of one dimension lower. If weak approximation holds for general fibers, then weak approximation holds for the total family (c.f. Theorem 3.1, [Has10]). Thus it suffices to prove weak approximation for all smooth cubic surfaces.

Let $\mathcal{X} \to B$ be a standard model for a smooth cubic surface defined over the function field $\mathbb{C}(B)$.

By Lemma 5.1, one may choose a section s of $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to B$ which lies in the smooth locus of π. Given a finite number of formal sections $\widehat{s}_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$ over $b_i \in B, 1 \leq i \leq m$, let $\widehat{L}_i$ be lines in the formal neighborhood $\mathcal{X}_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{B,b_i}}$ which joins the formal sections $\widehat{s}_i$ and s. Let $\widehat{s}'_i$ be the third intersection points of $\widehat{L}_i$ with the formal neighborhood. Up to perturbing the formal sections $\widehat{s}_i$, we may assume the three local formal sections are not the same.

Choose an integer N large enough. We claim that there is a line L defined over the field $\mathbb{C}(B)$, which contains the rational point p corresponding to s, intersects the generic fiber $\mathcal{X}_\eta$ at a cycle of degree 3, and agrees with $\widehat{L}_i$ to order N. This is equivalent to weak approximation for the space of lines through the rational point p. Since the space is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2$, weak approximation is true.

If the line L intersects the generic fiber at two other rational points, then we connect the two sections corresponding to the two rational points with rational curves in general fibers and smooth them with the jet data fixed.

So we may assume that there is a degree 2 multisection $C \to \mathcal{X}$ such that the formal sections induced by C agree with $\widehat{s}_i$ and $\widehat{s}'_i$ to order N. Make the base change $C \to B$, which is etále over the points b_i. Denote the preimages of b_i to be c_i and c'_i. The formal sections $\widehat{s}_i$ and $\widehat{s}'_i$ induce formal sections over c_i and c'_i, which will still denoted by $\widehat{s}_i$ and $\widehat{s}'_i$. The section s also induces a section of the new family, still denoted by s. We have another section of the new family, coming from the degree 2 multi-section C, which agrees with $\widehat{s}'_i$ to order N.

We may arrange the degree 2 cover $C \to B$ to be étale over all the points whose fibers are singular. So the étale neighborhood of singular fibers of the new family over C is isomorphic to the étale neighborhood of the corresponding singular fibers over B. As a consequence, the family over C is a standard model over C in the sense of 3.1.

By Lemma 5.1, there is a section of the family over C, which agrees with $\widehat{s}'_i$ to order N and otherwise lies in the smooth locus of the fibration. Then by Lemma 4.4, there is a section of the new family which agrees with both $\widehat{s}'_i$ and the restriction of s to the formal fibers over c_i to order N. This gives a degree 2 multisection of the original family $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to B$, which agrees with s and $\widehat{s}'_i$ to order N in the formal neighborhood of the points b_i. This multisection determines a degree 2 cycle in the generic fiber. Take the line spanned by the degree 2 cycle (which is defined over the function field $\mathbb{C}(B)$). This line agrees with the line L to order N over the points b_i by construction. We have a third intersection point, necessarily defined over $\mathbb{C}(B)$. The section corresponding to this rational point will agree with the formal sections $\widehat{s}_i$ to order N by construction, thus completing the proof. □

References

[BW79] J. W. Bruce and C. T. C. Wall. On the classification of cubic surfaces. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 19(2):245–256, 1979.

[Cor96] Alessio Corti. Del Pezzo surfaces over Dedekind schemes. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 144(3):641–683, 1996.

[GHS03] Tom Graber, Joe Harris, and Jason Starr. Families of rationally connected varieties. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16(1):57–67 (electronic), 2003.

[Has10] Brendan Hassett. Weak approximation and rationally connected varieties over function fields of curves. In *Variétés rationnellement connexes: aspects géométriques et arithmétiques*, volume 31 of *Panor. Synthèses*, pages 115–153. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2010.

[HT06] Brendan Hassett and Yuri Tschinkel. Weak approximation over function fields. *Invent. Math.*, 163(1):171–190, 2006.

[HT08] Brendan Hassett and Yuri Tschinkel. Approximation at places of bad reduction for rationally connected varieties. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 4(3, Special Issue: In honor of Fedor Bogomolov. Part 2):743–766, 2008.

[HT09] Brendan Hassett and Yuri Tschinkel. Weak approximation for hypersurfaces of low degree. In *Algebraic geometry—Seattle 2005. Part 2*, volume 80 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 937–955. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.

[KMM92] János Kollár, Yoichi Miyaoka, and Shigefumi Mori. Rationally connected varieties. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 1(3):429–448, 1992.

[Kne13] Amanda Knecht. Weak approximation for general degree two del Pezzo surfaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 141(3):801–811, 2013.

[Kol96] János Kollár. *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, volume 32 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

[TZ13] Zhiyu Tian and Runpu Zong. Weak approximation for isotrivial families. *in preparation*, 2013.

[Wei94] Charles A. Weibel. *An introduction to homological algebra*, volume 38 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

[Xu12a] Chenyang Xu. Strong rational connectedness of surfaces. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 665:189–205, 2012.

[Xu12b] Chenyang Xu. Weak approximation for low degree Del Pezzo surfaces. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 21(4):753–767, 2012.

Department of Mathematics 253-37, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, 91125

E-mail address: `tian@caltech.edu`